

California Budget & Policy Center Children's Health in California: How Can State **Policies Help** Promote a Lifetime of Health and Well-Being? WEBINAR — JUNE 2, 2015

calbudgetcenter.org

Today's Speakers











Edward Schor

Senior Vice President, **Programs and** Partnerships, Lucile Packard Foundation for Children's Health



Timothy T. Brown

Associate Adjunct Professor of Health

California, Berkeley,

School of Public

Economics,

Health

University of



Chris Hoene Executive Director, California Budget

& Policy Center (Facilitator)



A lifetime of good health begins in childhood.

Public policies can mitigate the effects of poverty on children's health and play a role in helping children and their families stay healthy.



Children's Health Programs in California

- Thirty-three programs administered by the state
 - Twelve programs within the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS)
 - Twenty-one programs within the California Department of Public Health (CDPH)
- Programs across each department range dramatically in size, scope, and mission:
 - Medi-Cal provided public health care coverage to about 4.5 million children in the state in 2012-13.
 - The California Home Visiting Program supported about 2,000 infants and their families in 2012-13.



Recent Years' Budget and Policy Changes

Since 2007-08, health programs serving children in California have experienced many changes, including:

- Funding cuts to the Healthy Families Program and public health programs targeting pregnant women and children
- Reduction in Medi-Cal provider payment rates
- Expansion of children's health benefits and the launch of Covered California
- The restructuring of state health services and the creation of the California Department of Public Health
- Transfer of 750,000 children from the Healthy Families Program to Medi-Cal





Key issues that policymakers should address:

 Ensure access to affordable health care coverage for all children and their families in California



- Ensure access to affordable health care coverage for all children and their families in California
- Reverse Medi-Cal provider payment rate cuts



- Ensure access to affordable health care coverage for all children and their families in California
- Reverse Medi-Cal provider payment rate cuts
- Assure quality of care for children with special health care needs



- Ensure access to affordable health care coverage for all children and their families in California
- Reverse Medi-Cal provider payment rate cuts
- Assure quality of care for children with special health care needs
- Increase state funding for public health programs



- Ensure access to affordable health care coverage for all children and their families in California
- Reverse Medi-Cal provider payment rate cuts
- Assure quality of care for children with special health care needs
- Increase state funding for public health programs
- Correct the "family glitch," a federal policy that undermines access to affordable health care coverage



Key Opportunities in 2015 to Improve Policies That Address Children's Health

- Senate Bill 4 (Lara)
 - Would extend eligibility for "full-scope" Medi-Cal regardless of immigration status (ages 0 to 18: automatic eligibility; ages 19 and older: if funding is available)
- 2015-16 state budget. Lawmakers are debating whether to:
 - Provide funding to implement SB 4
 - Roll back the 10% cut to Medi-Cal provider payments
 - Re-establish the California Children's Dental Disease Prevention Program
- California's "Section 1115 Medicaid waiver" renewal
 - Would provide incentive payments to doctors and dentists to improve participation and access to care





Observations On Programs & Policies for Children with Special Health Care Needs in California

Edward L. Schor, MD Senior Vice President Lucile Packard Foundation for Children's Health

Large Number of State Programs for Children

	DHCS	CDPH
Child Focused Programs	8	6
Maternal & Family	2	6
Capacity Building	1	9
Administrative		1

CBPC Report list **33 individual programs** of DCHS and CDPH that affect children's health

Source: Children's Health Programs In California. California Budget & Policy Center, May 2015 2

Title V Budget by Category of Service

	DIRECT HEATLH CARE SERVICES (Rank)	ENABLING SERVICES (Rank)	POPULATION- BASED SERVICES (Rank)	INFRASTRUCTURE (Rank)
California	87.2% (1)	10.0% (41)	1.8% (49)	1.0% (49)
National	63.3%	18.7%	10.5%	7.6%

Source: Title V Information System, Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Budget, by Category of Service FY2015

Competing for Scarce Resources



Data-Free Decision Making

Children's Health Programs in California An Overview			
DEPARTMENT	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES (DHCS)		
PROGRAM	Medi-Cal Program		
FISCAL YEAR	2007-08	2012-13	
TOTAL SPENDING (2012-2013 Dollars, in Thousands	Not Available	\$11,256,748	
Federal Funds	Not Available		
State General Funds	Not Available		
State Special Funds	Not Available		
Percent Change in Total Spending, 2007-08 to 2012-13	Not Available		

Source: Children's Health Programs In California. California Budget & Policy Center, May 2015

Do we know which children are served by which programs?



DHCS Program Changes (%) FY2007-8 Vs. FY2012-13

	Population Served Changes (%)	Budget Changes (%)
Medi-Cal	+10	N.A.
Access for Infants & Mothers	-16	-12
CCS	+4	-19
CHDP	+34	+7
Family Planning Access	-24	-12
High-Risk Infant Follow-Up	+3052	-44
Newborn Hearing Screening	+408	-15

7

Percent of Eligible Children Enrolled in CHIP and Medicaid



Source: http://kff.org/medicaid/state-indicator/medicaidchip-child-participation-rates/#table

Coverage Does Not Equal Access

69% of California physicians have Medi-Cal patients.



62% of California physicians are accepting new Medi-Cal patients.





The Recession Produced Some Difficult Choices



Prevention Versus Treatment

<u>Rates Vs. Coverage</u>: Medi-Cal pays primary care providers 43% of what they are paid by Medicare (2012); 3rd lowest in U.S.

County Health Departments Improve Health Status and Prevent Death

Evidence from California County Departments of Public Health

Timothy T. Brown, PhD School of Public Health

University of California, Berkeley



Roadmap

- Public health at the local level
- Prevention takes time causal connections are difficult to see
- Investing in public health yields large returns
- Opportunities for policymakers

Table 1: Activities of County Departments of Public Health in California

Activities of County Departments of Public Health	Percent of
	Departments
	Providing
Immunization	
Adult Immunizations	95
Childhood Immunizations	95
Screening for diseases/conditions	
HIV/AIDS	86
Other STDs	82
Tuberculosis	95
Cancer	30
Cardiovascular disease	20
Diabetes	26
High blood pressure	39
Blood lead	58
Treatment for communicable diseases	
HIV/AIDS	36
Other STDs	80
Tuberculosis	84

Table 1: Activities of County Departments of Public Health in California		
Activities of County Departments of Public Health	Percent of	
	Departments	
	Providing	
Maternal and Child Health (MCH)		
Family planning	61	
Prenatal care	30	
Obstetrical care	18	
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants	73	
and Children (WIC)		
MCH home visits	89	
Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT)	50	
Well Child Clinic	39	
Other Health Services		
Comprehensive primary care	26	
Home health care	2	
Oral health	23	
Behavioral/mental health services	27	
Drug and alcohol abuse services	27	

	Departments
	Providing
Epidemiology and Surveillance Activities	
Communicable/infectious disease	98
Chronic disease	64
Injury	64
Behavioral risk factors	51
Environmental health	75
Syndromic surveillance	66
Maternal and child health	93
Population-based Primary Prevention Activities	
Injury	65
Unintended pregnancy	70
Chronic disease programs	67
Nutrition	88
Physical activity	60
Violence	36
Tobacco	88
Substance abuse	40
Mental illness	33

	Departments
	Providing
Regulation, Inspection and/or Licensing Activities	
Mobile homes	5
Campgrounds & RVs	21
Solid waste disposal sites	60
Solid waste haulers	59
Septic systems	55
Hotels/motels	28
Schools/daycare	44
Children's camps	45
Cosmetology businesses	12
Body art (tattoos, piercing)	45
Swimming pools (public)	67
Tobacco retailers	53
Smoke-free ordinances	65
Lead inspection	61
Food processing	31
Milk processing	14
Public drinking water	60
Private drinking water	50
Food service establishments	70
Health-related facilities	35
Housing (inspections)	47

	Departments Providing
Other Environmental Health Activities	Troviaing
Indoor air quality	20
Food safety education	70
Radiation control	14
Vector control	47
Land use planning	45
Groundwater protection	55
Surface water protection	52
Hazmat response	44
Hazardous waste disposal	45
Pollution prevention	37
Air pollution	7
Noise pollution	19
Collection of unused pharmaceuticals	16
Other Activities	
Emergency medical services	37
Animal control	25
Occupational safety and health	19

	Departments
	Providing
Veterinarian public health activities	20
Laboratory services	73
Outreach and enrollment for medical insurance (include Medicaid)	70
School-based clinics	28
School health	21
Asthma prevention and/or management	47
Correctional health	35
Vital records	86
Medical examiner's office	2

Source: 2010 National Profile of Local Health Departments



Prevention Takes Time

- Prevention will usually affect health status before mortality with very short or no lag
- It generally takes at least a decade for changes in health status to fully impact mortality rates
- Models need to incorporate lag times to account for the overall impact of public health spending on mortality or effects are underestimated



Fig. 1. State-level trends in all-cause mortality and inflation-adjusted public health spending: 2001–2008*.



Lags in Years

Fig. 2. Cumulative impact on all-cause mortality of a \$10 per capita increase in public health expenditures in California.



Results – Overall Pattern

- Model analyzing self-rated health finds approximately 200,000 improve their health immediately – CAUSAL EFFECT
- Over a decade 26,937 lives per year are saved (about 14%) – CAUSAL EFFECT
 - Thus, with every round of funding, approximately 200,000 improve their health status. Of these 200,000, approximately 27,000 do not die who otherwise would have.



Investing in County Departments of Public Health

- ROI estimates from \$1 invested in county departments of public health: \$69.6 to \$56.4.
- Valuation of moving from fair/poor health status to good/excellent: \$41,654
- Valuation of statistical life: \$7.9 million



Discussion - Comparisons

Cost per life saved: \$109,514

- Mammography
 \$100,000 per life saved
- Higher nurse-to-patient ratio
 \$136,000 to \$449,000 per life saved
- Mandated mental health insurance
 \$1.3 million per life saved



Opportunities for Policymakers Local Policymakers

- Invest in proven public health programs
 - Compendium of Proven Community-Based Prevention Programs
 - The Community Guide
 - Public Health Performance Improvement Toolkit
 - NACCHO Toolbox

Opportunities for Policymakers State Policymakers

Invest in Standardized Data Systems

 Standardized county budget reporting – facilitates evaluation of specific program areas (must include funding)

Invest in Data Analysts

 Good decision making requires good data analysis. UC Berkeley is proposing to require data analytic skills from all undergraduates.

Invest in Evaluation

Evaluation of MHSA yielded unequivocal results.



Opportunities for Policymakers Federal Policymakers

Invest in Public Health

- Demonstration grants to illustrate good public health practice
- Grants for Public Health Services and Systems Research to learn how to organize public health.
- Grants examining the returns from targeting various population groups: children, adults, olderadults



Publications

Brown, TT. (2014). How Effective are Health Departments at Preventing Mortality? *Economics and Human Biology* 13, 34-45. (Released online in 2013). **PHSR Article of the Year**

Brown TT, Martinez-Gutierrez MS, Navab B. (2014). The Impact of Changes in County Public Health Expenditures on General Health in the Population. *Health Economics, Policy and Law* 9, 251-269.

Brown TT. (2015). The Subjective Well Being Method of Valuation: An Application to General Health Status. *Health Services Research.* Early View. Article first published online11 MAR 2015 | DOI: 10.1111/1475-6773.12294



Contact Information:

Timothy T. Brown, PhD School of Public Health University of California, Berkeley Ph: 510-642-0028 Em: timothy.brown@berkeley.edu

Questions & Discussion











Edward Schor

Senior Vice President, **Programs and** Partnerships, Lucile Packard Foundation for Children's Health



Timothy T. Brown

Associate Adjunct Professor of Health Economics, University of California, Berkeley, School of Public Health



Chris Hoene Executive Director, California Budget & Policy Center (Facilitator)





California Budget & Policy Center

1107 9th Street, Suite 310 Sacramento, California 95814 916.444.0500 contact@calbudgetcenter.org @CalBudgetCenter

calbudgetcenter.org