


## WHO PAYS TAXES IN CALIFORNIA?

**F**ormer Supreme Court Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes once noted that, “Taxes are what we pay for civilized society.” State and local taxes support our public schools, streets and highways, public hospitals that form the backbone of the state’s trauma care system, parks and beaches, the public health infrastructure that ensures that our food is safe to eat and our water is safe to drink (and that delivers water to homes across California), as well as a range of other services. While the primary purpose of a tax system is to raise the money needed to support public services, tax policy can also serve as an end in itself, providing incentives for taxpayers to engage in desired activity or providing cash assistance to certain individuals. Tax day – the day Californians are required to file their income tax returns – provides an opportunity to look at who pays taxes in California, who doesn’t, and how California’s tax system compares to those of other states.

### K E Y F A C T S

- **How much do Californians pay in state and local taxes?**

Measured as a share of family income, California’s lowest-income families pay the most in taxes. The poorest fifth of the state’s non-elderly families, with an average income of \$13,200, spent 11.1 percent of their income on state taxes.<sup>1</sup> In comparison, the wealthiest 1 percent, with an average income of \$2.2 million, spent 7.8 percent of their income on state taxes.



The share of income California's families spend on state and local taxes is a function of the state's relatively progressive personal income tax and regressive sales and excise taxes. Higher-income families pay a larger share of their income in income taxes. Lower-income families pay a greater share of their income in sales and property taxes. Families also indirectly pay a portion of the taxes imposed on business through higher prices and reduced corporate earnings. Higher-income families pay a greater share of the corporate income tax, whereas lower-income families pay a greater share of the sales and excise taxes paid by businesses.

■ **Who pays income taxes in California?**

A single mother with one child will have no 2009 state income tax liability unless she earns at least \$27,625. A family of four with two children will have no 2009 state income tax liability unless their income is at least \$36,325.<sup>2</sup> California's tax thresholds – the income level at which an individual or family begins to pay income taxes – were lowered by changes made as part of the February 2009 budget agreement.<sup>3</sup> The reduction in the size of the dependent tax credit – the tax credit claimed by families with children or other dependents – was cut from \$309 to \$98, which significantly lowers the income level at which families with children begin to pay income taxes.<sup>4</sup> In 2009, for example, a family of four with two children began to pay income taxes when their income reached \$36,325. In 2008, in contrast, a family of four did not begin to owe income taxes until their income reached \$51,335.

California's Personal Income Tax Thresholds Are High						
	2008 Tax Threshold	2009 Tax Threshold	Percent Change, 2008 Threshold to 2009 Threshold	2009 Federal Poverty Line	2008 Tax Threshold as a Percentage of the 2008 Federal Poverty Line	2009 Tax Threshold as a Percentage of the 2009 Federal Poverty Line
Single, No Children	\$15,243	\$13,788	-9.5%	\$11,161	136.1%	123.5%
Married, No Children	\$30,435	\$27,625	-9.2%	\$14,366	211.1%	192.3%
Head of Household, One Child	\$40,935	\$27,625	-32.5%	\$14,787	275.8%	186.8%
Head of Household, Two Children	\$48,935	\$32,025	-34.6%	\$17,285	282.1%	185.3%
Married, One Child	\$43,635	\$31,925	-26.8%	\$17,268	251.8%	184.9%
Married, Two Children	\$51,335	\$36,325	-29.2%	\$21,756	235.1%	167.0%

Note: Assumes tax filers claim the standard deduction and the renter's credit. The federal poverty line assumes non-elderly householders.

Source: Franchise Tax Board and US Census Bureau

■ **How much does the "average" California household earn?**


California's 2008 median *household* income, the income at which half of all households earned more and half earned less, was \$57,014.<sup>5</sup> The median income for all California personal income *taxpayers* was \$35,646 in 2007, the most recent year for which data are available.<sup>6</sup> The 2007 median income of taxpayers filing joint returns was \$68,797.

■ **Who pays the corporate income tax in California?**

Small businesses pay a very small share of the corporate income tax. While 709,937 corporations filed tax returns, the 2.0 percent with taxable incomes of \$1 million or more paid 87.2 percent of the 2007 corporate income tax.<sup>7</sup> The most costly corporate tax credit is the Research and Development (R&D) Credit. In 2007, 2,020 corporations claimed \$1.1 billion in R&D credits, an average of \$544,202 per firm.<sup>8</sup> Overall, relatively few corporations claim the state's various tax credits. In 2007, fewer than 1.1 percent of the state's corporations claimed *any* of the state's tax credits.

■ **How does the growth in corporate income compare to that for individuals?**

A number of recent research reports have documented the rise in corporate profits and decline in the share of national income accounted for by wages and salaries.<sup>9</sup> While comparable data are not available for California, the data that are available show that the recent growth in corporate profits reported for California tax purposes far exceeds that of income reported by individual taxpayers. Between 2001 and 2008, the most recent year for which data are available, the total adjusted gross income of California's personal income taxpayers increased by 27.8 percent. In contrast, the net profits reported by corporations for California tax purposes increased more than fivefold, rising by 411.6 percent.



■ **How do California's tax collections compare to those of other states?**

California is a moderate tax state. In 2008-09, California ranked 21st among the 50 states with respect to state taxes as a percentage of personal income. The state also ranked 19th with respect to total "own source" revenues – the broadest measure of state and local revenues – raised by state and local governments in 2006-07, the most recent year for which data are available. California ranks relatively high with respect to personal and corporate income tax collections. The state ranks relatively low with respect to state sales, property, vehicle fuel, and alcoholic beverage taxes.


### How Does California Compare? Revenues as a Percentage of Personal Income

	California Rank	California	US
Total State and Local Own Source (2006-07)	19	16.59%	16.07%
Total State and Local Taxes (2006-07)	15	11.27%	11.00%
State Taxes (2008-09)	21	6.37%	5.92%
Local Taxes (2006-07)	29	3.79%	4.45%
State Individual Income Tax (2008-09)	9	2.80%	2.04%
State Corporate Income Tax (2008-09)	4	0.60%	0.33%
State and Local General Sales Taxes (2006-07)	18	2.73%	2.58%
State General Sales Tax (2008-09)	30	1.83%	1.89%
State and Local Property Tax (2006-07)	34	2.72%	3.31%
State Motor Fuels Taxes (2008-09)	45	0.20%	0.29%
State Tobacco Tax (2008-09)	46	0.06%	0.14%
State Alcoholic Beverage Sales Taxes (2008-09)	41	0.02%	0.04%

Source: US Bureau of Economic Analysis and US Census Bureau

■ **How have California's tax policies changed over time?**

Over the past three decades, the cost of funding state services has shifted from corporate to personal income taxpayers. The Department of Finance estimates that personal income tax receipts will provide 53.2 percent of General Fund revenues in 2009-10, up from 35.4 percent in 1980-81. Corporate tax receipts are expected to provide 10.7 percent of General Fund revenues in 2009-10, down from 14.6 percent in 1980-81. New, increased, and expanded corporate tax breaks and the 1996 corporate tax rate reduction are responsible for the decline in the share of state revenues provided by the corporate income tax. Additional corporate tax cuts were included in the September 2008 and February 2009 budget agreements that will result in a loss of nearly \$2 billion per year when fully implemented.



## ■ Who doesn't pay taxes in California?

In 2007, the most recent year for which data are available, 647,547 taxpayers reported incomes of \$200,000 or more. However, 2,044 of these taxpayers paid no California personal income tax.<sup>10</sup> How did they do it? The tax breaks claimed most often by “no tax” taxpayers include enterprise zone tax credits, miscellaneous deductions, and mortgage deductions.<sup>11</sup> The number of high-income “no tax” returns more than tripled between 1997 and 2007, rising from 579 to 2,044.

*Raúl Macías and Jean Ross prepared this Policy Points. The California Budget Project (CBP) was founded in 1994 to provide Californians with a source of timely, objective, and accessible expertise on state fiscal and economic policy issues. The CBP engages in independent fiscal and policy analysis and public education with the goal of improving public policies affecting the economic and social well-being of low- and middle-income Californians. General operating support for the CBP is provided by foundation grants, individual donations, and subscriptions. Please visit the CBP's website at [www.cbp.org](http://www.cbp.org).*

## ENDNOTES

- <sup>1</sup> Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy. Reflects 2007 income levels and offset for federal deductibility. Includes the temporary tax increases enacted in the February 2009 budget agreement.
- <sup>2</sup> California Franchise Tax Board. Assumes families claim the standard deduction and the renters' tax credit. The figure for a family of four is for a married couple.
- <sup>3</sup> The temporary 0.25 percentage point increase in tax rates lowers the tax threshold by increasing the amount of tax owed, which means that fewer taxpayers have their entire tax liability offset by credits and their standard deduction.
- <sup>4</sup> This reduction applies to the 2009 and 2010 tax years.
- <sup>5</sup> Down from a 2006 high of \$59,074. US Census Bureau.
- <sup>6</sup> California Franchise Tax Board, *2008 Annual Report – Statistical Appendix Tables*, Table B-6.
- <sup>7</sup> California Franchise Tax Board, *2008 Annual Report – Statistical Appendix Tables*, Table C-8.
- <sup>8</sup> California Franchise Tax Board, *2008 Annual Report – Statistical Appendix Tables*, Table C-7.
- <sup>9</sup> See, for example, Aviva Aron-Dine and Isaac Shapiro, *Share of National Income Going to Wages and Salaries A Record Low in 2006: Share of Income Going to Corporate Profits at Record High* (Center on Budget and Policy Priorities: March 29, 2007).
- <sup>10</sup> California Franchise Tax Board, *2008 Annual Report – Statistical Appendix Tables*, Table B-4A.1.
- <sup>11</sup> California Franchise Tax Board. Based on the 2006 tax year, the most recent year for which data are available.