

policy points

APRIL 2011


WHO PAYS TAXES IN CALIFORNIA?

F ormer Supreme Court Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes once noted that, "Taxes are what we pay for civilized society." State and local taxes support our public schools, streets and highways, public hospitals that form the backbone of the state's trauma care system, parks and beaches, the public health infrastructure that ensures that our food is safe to eat and our water is safe to drink (and that delivers water to homes across California), as well as a range of other services. While the primary purpose of a tax system is to raise the money needed to support public services, tax policy can also serve as an end in itself, providing incentives for taxpayers to engage in desired activities or providing cash assistance to certain individuals. Tax day – the day Californians are required to file their income tax returns – provides an opportunity to look at who pays taxes in California, who doesn't, and how California's tax systems compare to those of other states.

KEY FACTS

How much do Californians pay in state and local taxes?

Measured as a share of family income, California's lowest-income families pay the most in taxes. The bottom fifth of the state's non-elderly families, with an average income of \$12,600, spent 11.1 percent of their income on state and local taxes.¹ In comparison, the wealthiest 1 percent, with an average income of \$2.3 million, spent 7.8 percent of their income on state and local taxes.



The share of income California's families spend on state and local taxes is a function of the state's relatively progressive personal income tax and regressive sales and excise taxes. Higher-income families pay a larger share of their income in income taxes. Lower-income families pay a greater share of their income in sales and property taxes. Families also indirectly pay a portion of the taxes imposed on businesses through higher prices and reduced corporate earnings. Higher-income families pay a greater share of the sales and excise taxes paid by businesses.

Who pays income taxes in California?

A single mother with one child will have no 2010 state income tax liability unless she earns at least \$27,791. A family of four with two children will have no 2010 state income tax liability unless their income is at least \$36,591.² California's tax thresholds – the income level at which an individual or family begins to pay income taxes – were lowered by changes made as part of the February 2009 budget agreement.³ The size of the dependent tax credit – the tax credit claimed by families with children or other dependents – was cut from \$309 to \$98 dollars, which significantly lowers the income level at which families with children begin to pay income taxes.⁴ In 2010, for example, a family of four with two children began to pay income taxes when their income reached \$36,591. In 2008, in contrast, a family of four did not begin to owe income taxes until their income reached \$51,335.

California's Personal Income Tax Thresholds Are High								
	2008 Tax Threshold	2010 Tax Threshold	Percent Change, 2008 Threshold to 2010 Threshold	2010 Federal Poverty Line	2008 Tax Threshold as a Percentage of the 2008 Federal Poverty Line	2010 Tax Threshold as a Percentage of the 2010 Federal Poverty Line		
Single, No Children	\$15,243	\$13,921	-8.7%	\$11,369	136.1%	122.4%		
Married, No Children	\$30,435	\$27,791	-8.7%	\$14,634	211.1%	189.9%		
Head of Household, One Child	\$40,935	\$27,791	-32.1%	\$15,063	275.8%	184.5%		
Head of Household, Two Children	\$48,835	\$32,191	-34.1%	\$17,607	282.1%	182.8%		
Married, One Child	\$43,635	\$32,191	-26.2%	\$17,590	251.8%	183.0%		
Married, Two Children	\$51,335	\$36,591	-28.7%	\$22,162	235.1%	165.1%		

Note: Assumes tax filers claim the standard deduction and the renter's credit. The federal poverty line assumes non-elderly householders.


Source: Franchise Tax Board and US Census Bureau

California's 2009 median *household* income – the income at which half of all households earned more and half earned less – was \$56,134.⁵ The median income for all California personal income *taxfilers* was \$35,923 in 2008, the most recent year for which data are available.⁶ The 2008 median income of Californians filing joint tax returns was \$68,981.

How much does the "average" California family earn? Who pays the corporate income tax in California?

How does the growth in corporate income compare to that for individuals? Small businesses pay a very small share of the corporate income tax. While 722,358 corporations filed tax returns, the 1.7 percent with taxable incomes of \$1 million or more paid 86.7 percent of the 2008 corporate income tax.⁷ The most costly corporate tax credit is the Research and Development (R&D) Credit. In 2008, 2,483 corporations claimed \$1.2 billion in R&D credits, an average of \$497,197 per firm.⁸ The most widely used corporate tax credits are the state's Enterprise Zone Hiring and Enterprise Zone Sales and Use Tax Credits, with 4,718 corporations claiming \$277.5 million in 2008, an average of \$58,809 per firm. Overall, relatively few corporations claim the state's various tax credits. In 2008, just 1.3 percent of the state's corporations claimed *any* of the state's tax credits.

A number of research reports have documented the rise in corporate profits and decline in the share of national income accounted for by wages and salaries.⁹ While comparable data are not available for California, the data that are available show that the recent growth in corporate profits reported for California tax purposes far exceeds that of income reported by individual taxpayers. Between 2001 and 2009, the most recent year for which data are available, the total adjusted gross income of California's personal income taxpayers increased by 16.5 percent. In contrast, the net profits reported by corporations for California tax purposes nearly tripled, rising by 192.0 percent.




California is a moderate tax state. In 2009-10, California ranked 15th among the 50 states with respect to state taxes as a percentage of personal income. California's ranking is up from 21st in 2008-09, a reflection of increased revenues from the temporary increases in the personal income tax, which expired on December 31, 2010, and the sales tax and vehicle license fees, which will expire on June 30, 2011. The state also ranked 11th with respect to total "own source" revenues – the broadest measure of state and local revenues – raised by state and local governments in 2007-08, the most recent year for which data are available. California ranks relatively high with respect to state property, vehicle fuel, tobacco, and alcoholic beverage taxes.

How do California's tax collections compare to those of other states?

How Does California Compare? Revenues as a Percentage of Personal Income							
	California Rank	California	US				
Total State and Local Own Source (2007-08)	11	16.96%	3.95%				
Total State and Local Taxes (2007-08)	10	11.68%	2.70%				
State Taxes (2009-10)	15	6.63%	5.74%				
Local Taxes (2007-08)	19	4.31%	1.11%				
State Individual Income Tax (2009-10)	6	2.89%	1.93%				
State Corporate Income Tax (2009-10)	4	0.58%	0.31%				
State and Local General Sales Taxes (2007-08)	22	2.58%	0.62%				
State General Sales Tax (2009-10)	20	1.97%	1.83%				
State and Local Property Tax (2007-08)	20	3.31%	0.83%				
State Motor Fuels Taxes (2009-10)	44	0.20%	0.29%				
State Tobacco Tax (2009-10)	45	0.06%	0.14%				
State Alcoholic Beverage Sales Taxes (2009-10)	41	0.02%	0.04%				

Source: US Bureau of Economic Analysis and US Census Bureau

Over the past three decades, the cost of funding state services has shifted from corporations to personal income tax filers. The Department of Finance estimates that personal income tax receipts will provide 51.5 percent of General Fund revenues in 2010-11, up from 35.4 percent in 1980-81. Corporate tax receipts are expected to provide 12.4 percent of General Fund revenues in 2010-11, down from 14.6 percent in 1980-81. New, increased, and expanded corporate tax breaks and the 1996 corporate tax rate reduction are responsible for the decline in the share of state revenues provided by the corporate income tax. Additional corporate tax cuts were included in the September 2008 and February 2009 budget agreements that will result in a loss of nearly \$2 billion per year when fully implemented.



How have California's tax policies changed over time?

- Who doesn't pay taxes in California?
- How many Californians pay sales tax on goods purchased from outside the state?

In 2008, the most recent year for which data are available, 611,318 taxpayers reported incomes of \$200,000 or more. However, 2,431 of these households paid no California personal income tax.¹⁰ The number of high-income "no tax" returns more than quadrupled between 1997 and 2008, rising from 579 to 2,431.

California loses more than \$1 billion each year in unpaid sales taxes on goods purchased from outside the state when online retailers refuse to collect the taxes owed on consumers' purchases.¹¹ Consumers and businesses are still legally obligated to pay the taxes for these purchases, but few do because they are unaware that they owe the tax. In 2010, just 61,000 out of 16.9 million taxpayers paid the tax when they filed California personal income returns.¹² The Board of Equalization estimates that retailers outside the state will fail to collect \$795 million in these taxes from consumers and \$350 million from businesses in 2010-11.¹³

The California Budget Project (CBP) was founded in 1994 to provide Californians with a source of timely, objective, and accessible expertise on state fiscal and economic policy issues. The CBP engages in independent fiscal and policy analysis and public education with the goal of improving public policies affecting the economic and social well-being of low- and middle-income Californians. General operating support for the CBP is provided by foundation grants, subscriptions, and individual donations. Please visit the CBP's website at www.cbp.org.

ENDNOTES

- ¹ Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy. Reflects 2007 income levels and offset for federal deductibility. Includes the temporary tax increases enacted in the February 2009 budget agreement.
- ² Franchise Tax Board. Assumes families claim the standard deduction and the renter's credit. The figure for a family of four is for a married couple filing a joint return.
- ³ The temporary 0.25 percentage point increase in tax rates lowered the tax threshold by increasing the amount of tax owed, which means that fewer taxpayers had their entire tax liability offset by credits and their standard deduction.
- ⁴ This reduction applies to the 2009 and 2010 tax years.
- ⁵ Down from the 2006 high of \$58,850. US Census Bureau.
- ⁶ Franchise Tax Board, 2009 Annual Report Statistical Appendix Tables, Table B-6.
- ⁷ Franchise Tax Board, 2009 Annual Report Statistical Appendix Tables, Table C-8.
- ⁸ Franchise Tax Board, 2009 Annual Report Statistical Appendix Tables, Table C-7.
- ⁹ See, for example, Aviva Aron-Dine and Isaac Shapiro, *Share of National Income Going to Wages and Salaries a Record Low in 2006: Share of Income Going to Corporate Profits at Record High* (Center on Budget and Policy Priorities: March 29, 2007).
- ¹⁰ Franchise Tax Board, Annual Report 2009 Statistical Appendix Tables, Table B-4A.1.
- ¹¹ Board of Equalization, *Revenue Estimate Exhibits: Electronic Commerce and Mail Order Sales* (December 6, 2010), downloaded from http://www.boe.ca.gov/legdiv/pdf/e-commerce-11-10.pdf on April 6, 2011. Californians who purchase taxable goods from outside the state through the Internet or by phone or mail owe "use tax" in an amount equal to what they would have paid in sales tax had the purchase been made from retailers in California. The sales and use tax is actually two separate taxes: A tax on the sale of tangible goods occurring in California and a tax on goods purchased outside of the state for use in California. Since the two taxes are complementary, they are typically referred to simply as the sales tax.
- ¹² Board of Equalization, *Economic Perspective* (February 2011).
- ¹³ Board of Equalization, Revenue Estimate: Electronic Commerce and Mail Order Sales (December 6, 2010), downloaded from http://www.boe.ca.gov/legdiv/pdf/ecommerce-11-10.pdf on April 6, 2011.